



Security Issues in Ad hoc Networks

Navid Nikaein and Pietro Michiardi

Institut Eurecom

<http://manet.eurecom.fr>

<http://www.eurecom.fr/~nsteam>



Security Issues

- ❑ Security Attacks
- ❑ Security Services
- ❑ Security Mechanisms

Layer	Security issues
Application layer	Detecting and preventing viruses, worms, malicious codes, and application abuses
Transport layer	Authenticating and securing end-to-end communications through data encryption
Network layer	Protecting the ad hoc routing and forwarding protocols
Link layer	Protecting the wireless MAC protocol and providing link-layer security support
Physical layer	Preventing signal jamming denial-of-service attacks



Security Attacks

- Passive attack → **Selfish Node**
 - No intention to damage the network
 - Stealing information or eavesdropping communication
 - Lack of cooperation with the purpose of saving resources
 - E.g. passive DoS, black hole, idle status, traffic monitoring
- Active attack → **Compromised or Malicious Node**
 - Intention to damage network
 - Misbehaving with resource utilization to perform a thread
 - External attack:
 - Committed by parties that are not part of the network (not authenticated)
 - E.g. jam the communication of an ad hoc network
 - Internal attacks:
 - Intention to damage the network → not easy to prevent
 - Sourced from inside a particular network threading the functional efficiency of the entire network
 - E.g. DoS, traffic subversion, attacks on routing mechanism



Security Services

- Availability
- Secrecy
- Access Control
- Authentication
- Confidentiality
- Integrity
- Non-repudiation



Availability and Secrecy Services

Availability

- Authorized access by authorized users must always be

Secrecy

- Information must not be disclosed or made accessible to a non-authorized user, process, or system



Access Control Service

- Prevent non-authorized use of resources
 - Information
 - Entities
 - Links
 - Domains
 - Service



Authentication Service

- Verify that the remote party is who he claims to be
 - Peer entity authentication or identification
 - Data origin authentication to the recipient
- Without authentication
 - A malicious node can masquerade a node and gain unauthorized transmission or reception
- Note: Requires the integrity of identification information



Data Confidentiality Service

- Prevent from non-authorized disclosure of
 - Information
 - traffic



Data Integrity Service

- Prevent from accidental or malicious modification of data
 - Information must not be altered or destroyed by a non-authorized user or in a non-authorized way
 - Modification can be also caused by radio propagation impairments
 - E.g. the integrity of routing information is a key factor of the proper functionality of the network
- Integrity does not assure confidentiality



Non-repudiation Service

- Confirm the fact that a subject has performed an operation despite the possibility of denial by the subject
 - Non-repudiation with proof of origin
 - Provide the proof of data origin to the recipient
 - Non-repudiation with proof of delivery
 - Provide the proof of data delivery to the sender

- Notes: for some application privacy of location, data, existence, or identity is important



Services vs. Layers

Layer / Services	PHY	MAC	Network	Transport	App.
Authentication		X	X	X	X
Access control		X	X	X	X
Confidentiality	X	X	X	X	X
Secrecy	X		X		X
Integrity		X	X	X	X
Non-repudiation					X

To protect application data, the lowest layer for security is Transport

To protect communication infrastructure, the highest layer for security is Network



Security Mechanisms

- Security services implemented by one or several security mechanisms
 - Data confidentiality mechanisms
 - Data integrity mechanisms
 - Digital signature
 - ...
- Security mechanisms rely on security primitives:
 - Encryption algorithms
 - Hash functions
 - Pseudo random number generators



Trust in MANET

- Infrastructured environment
 - A-priori trust
 - Entity authentication → correct operation
 - But requires:
 - authentication infrastructure
 - Tamper-proof hardware

- Ad Hoc environment
 - No a-priori trust
 - Authentication does not guarantee correct operation
 - *New security paradigm*



MANET Requirements

- Wireless & Mobile

- Limited energy
- Lack of physical security

- Cooperation enforcement

- Ad hoc

- No infrastructure
- Lack of organization

- Secure Routing

- Key Management



Outline

- Secure routing

- Key management

- Cooperation enforcement
 - only applies to selfish nodes



Routing Vulnerabilities

- Modification
 - Compromises routing information integrity
 - Traffic subversion, DOS
- Impersonation or Spoofing
 - Misrepresenting the identity
 - Routing table inconsistency, loops, and inefficiency
- Fabrication
 - Generating false routing messages
 - Routing failure
- Wormhole attack
 - Create a shortcut (tunnel) to route messages to another malicious through a private network
 - Routing malfunctioning
- Lack of cooperation
 - Do not participate in network operation



Secure Routing - Objectives

- ❑ Authentication (Integrity) of routing information

- ❑ Entity authentication
 - Source
 - Destination
 - Intermediate node

- ❑ Correct behavior (of algorithm, if any)

- ❑ Asymmetric vs. Symmetric Crypto
- ❑ Pro-active vs. Reactive routing protocols



Secure Routing Proposals for MANET

- ARIADNE [Hu, et al.]
 - Shared secret known by (src, dst)
 - Prerequisite: distribution of authenticated TESLA keys
- Secure Routing Protocol [Papadimitriou, Haas]
 - Security associations between source and destination only
- ARAN [Dahill, et al.]
 - PK certificates for IP @
- SEAD [Hu, et al.]
 - Proactive routing authenticated hash chains
- TESLA with instant key disclosure (TIK)
 - Cope with wormhole attack
- All solutions rely on some **key set-up** prior to secure routing operation



Key Management Requirements

Secure routing

Basic security services

- Authentication
- Confidentiality
- Integrity
- Non-repudiation

Symmetric or Asymmetric Keys



Key Management Challenges

- Lack of (or limited)

- Security infrastructure

- Key servers (KDC, CA, RA)

- Organization (a priori trust)

- p2p
- Authentication is not sufficient to build trust



Key Management Approaches

- Symmetric crypto: key pre-distribution

- Asymmetric crypto: (ID, PK) binding
 - PK Certificate = $(ID, PK)_{CA}$
 - Self-organized CA
 - Web of trust(PGP)
 - No certificate
 - Crypto-based IDs: $ID = h(PK)$
 - ID-based Crypto: $PK = f(ID)$

- Context awareness
 - Location-limited channels
 - Shared passwords
 - Distance bounding protocols



Cooperation Enforcement in MANET

- ❑ Routing and Packet Forwarding **cost energy**
- ❑ Selfish node saves energy for itself
- ❑ Without any incentive for cooperation network performance can be severely degraded.

[Michiardi, Molva EW'02]



@July 1st, 2005



Cooperation enforcement mechanisms

- Token-based
[Yang, Meng, Lu] }
- Nuglets
[Buttayan, Hubaux]
- SPRITE
[Zhong, Chen, Yang] }
- CONFIDANT
[Buechegger, Le Boudec]
- CORE
[Michiardi, Molva]
- Beta-Reputation
[Josang, Ismail] }
- Threshold cryptography
- Micro-payment
- Reputation-based



Validation of Cooperation Enforcement Mechanisms

- Mechanisms based on reputation difficult to validate
 - Simulation
 - Game theory

- How to tackle with monitoring errors?